PATENT COOPERATION TREASE FCT/PTO 25 SEP 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1200306WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/012233	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 April 2004 (21.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IP-7 G01J 3/00, G06F 17/30, G06T 1/	C) or national classification and IPC /00, B44D 3/00		
Applicant POLYONE CORPORATION			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	·	
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	•	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited .		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			
			Date of issuance of this report 28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac	
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom th	IB NATIONAL SEARC	CHING AUTHORITY	,	AP	REC'D 07 MAR	2005	
	WITOTO CO CO			₩,	PWPD	PCT	
То:	see form Po	CT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (I	TEN OPINION OF TH NAL SEARCHING AU PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	JTHORITY	
	ant's or agent's file r			FOR FURTHER	ACTION		
see 1	form PCT/ISA/22			See paragraph 2 bel			
	ational application N NS2004/012233		ernational filing date (.04.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea. 23.04.2003	r) 	
		ification (IPC) or both	national classification	and IPC			
Interr	J3/00. G06F17/3	0, G06T1.00, B44	D3/00				
Appli POI	cant _YONE CORPOF	RATION					
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinio	n				
	Box No. II	Driority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishmen	t of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial appli	cability	
l	Community of invention		ention				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43/ applicability; citations and explanation			ols.1(a)(i) with regard ons supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or tatement	industrial		
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
ļ	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in					
l	Box No. VIII	Certain observation	ons on the internati				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				the /			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further option	ons, see Form PCT/	1SA/220.				
3.		ils, see notes to Fo					
			•				
		- CH- 10A-		Authorized Office	r	and Paline	
Na	ame and mailing addr	ress of the ISA:		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		John Marie Marie	
-	Europea	n Patent Office		Sartor, M		(0)	
1 _	D-80298	Munich 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	56 epmu d		40 00 0000 0702		
	Fax: +49	89 2399 - 4465	•	Telephone No. +	49 89 2393-2733	- 1000	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

_	Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in			
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 				
	a. type of material:			
	□ a sequence listing			
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:			
	in written format			
	☐ in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:			
	 contained in the international application as filed. 			
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
	4. Additional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
		ivent ble h	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:	
	the entire international application,			
×	claims Nos. 9,12			
because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	could be formed.			
⋈				
	- with a standard provided for in Annex			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, on not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		-فعاد	No.	
	See separate sheet for further	deta	IIIS	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-8,10,11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,8,10,11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8,10,11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

The subject-matter of claims 9, 12 is a mere presentation of information, cf. Rule 39.1
 (v) PCT, PCT Guidelines 9.11 and 9.12.

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = WO-A-01/97090

D2 = US-A-6 011 540

D3 = US-A-5 692 071

D4 = EP-A-626781

D5 = US-A-5 121 196

D6 = US-A-4 929 978

- 2.1 D1 discloses a method of mapping a colour space with cromatic formulations, said method comprising the steps of selecting a number of chromatics for use with a bulk material, formulating the chromatics to generating a plurality of chromatic formulations and computing additional chromatic formulationd using algorithms reflecting the contribution of the chromatics and incremental substitutions thereof (see on page 7, lines 13-23, page 8, lines 6-15 and 29-31, page 9, lines 1-29). Insofar as it can be understood (see Item VIII) the subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore already known (Art. 33 (2) PCT).
- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is also disclosed by D2-D6 as follows:

D2, page 2, line 67-page 4, line 29;

D3, col. 1, line 40-col. 2, line 9, col. 7, line 26-col.8, line 18, col. 8, line 26-col. 9, line 6, figures 4-6;

D4, page 1, line 33 - page 2, line 52, figures 6-9;

D5, col. 2, line 29 - col. 3, line 46, col. 4 ,line 19-col. 5, line 25, figures 1,2;

D6, col. 4, line 13-col.5, line 38, col. 6, line 27-col. 7, line 51, col. 8, line 17-col. 9, line 15, figures 4-6.

PCT/US2004/012233

3. The subject-matter of independent claim 10 and the additional features of claims 2-8, 11 are also disclosed by D1-D6, see on points 2.1 and 2.2.

Re Item VIII.

- 1.1 In claim 1 the features "chromatics" and "chromatic formulations" are present, which are defined only in the description on page 2, line 26 and page 4, lines 10-25 respectively, whereby the meaning of said feature is not clear from the wording of the claim alone (PCT Guidelines 5.31).
 Claim 1 is therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 1.2 In claim 1 the features "selection criteria" and "desirable" are present.
 Said features are however so broad that they do not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claim.
 Claim 1 is therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 2.1 In claims 3 and 10 the features "generated nodes" and "computed nodes" are present, which are defined only in the description on page 5, lines 1-9 and page 5, lines 18-28 respectively, whereby the meaning of said feature is not clear from the wording of the claim alone (PCT Guidelines 5.31).
 Claims 3 and 10 therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
 It should moreover be noted that in light of its definition, especially on lines 24-25, the feature "computed nodes" is so broad that it does not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claim.
- In claims 1 and 10 the feature "algorithms" is present.
 Said feature is however so broad that it does not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claims.
 Claim 1 and 10 are therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 4. In claims 3-8 the expression "optionally" is present. It should be noted that the features following said expression are to be considered as entirely optional, said expression having no limiting effect on the scope of the claim (PCT Guidelines 5.40).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/012233

5. Lines 1 and 2 on page 23 should be deleted (PCT Guidelines 5.30).